5/8/2012

1	MUNICIPAL FIRE AND POLICE CIVIL SERVICE PUBLIC HEARING
2	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
3	EDWARD MCLEAN
4	
5	VERSUS
6	LAFAYETTE CONSOLIDATED GOVERNMENT (POLICE)
7	****************
8	
9	
10	APPEAL OF TERMINATION
11	
12	The public meeting of the Municipal Fire and
13	Police Civil Service Board was held on Tuesday, May 8,
14	2012, at the Municipal Civil Service Office, 705 West
15	University Avenue, Lafayette, Louisiana, commencing at
16	9:35 A.M.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	EVUIDIT
25	ORIGINAL ORIGINAL
	is A UNIVITAL
	Page 1

```
1
                        APPEARANCES
 2.
   BOARD:
 3
    MR. JASON BOUDREAUX, CHAIRMAN
    MR. RICHARD CHAPPUIS
 4
    MR. SCOTT POIENCOT
   MR. DWIGHT PRUDHOMME
 5
 6 MS. FREDRIKA ARNAUD, BOARD SECRETARY
 7
    REPRESENTING THE LAFAYETTE CITY-PARISH CONSOLIDATED
 8
    GOVERNMENT:
 9
          MR. MICHAEL CORRY
          ATTORNEY AT LAW
10
          BRINEY FORET CORRY
          413 TRAVIS STREET
11
          LAFAYETTE, LOUISIANA 70503
12
13
    REPRESENTING EDWARD MCLEAN:
14
          MS. OLITA MAGEE
          ATTORNEY AT LAW
15
          100 EAST VERMILION, SUITE 204
          LAFAYETTE, LOUISIANA 70501
16
17
18 CO-COUNSEL REPRESENTING EDWARD MCLEAN:
          MR. MURPHY BELL
19
          ATTORNEY AT LAW
          660 NORTH FOSTER DRIVE, SUITE 214-A
20
          BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA 70806
21
22
23
24
25
                                                    Page 2
```

1		My response would direct the Board's attention to
2		Exhibit C, our original brief, that shows that in
3		fact Corporal McLean, on February 9th, 2011, was
4		advised by Captain Ned Ewing of his
5		predetermination hearing. I would also direct
6		the Board's and that was well within the 60
7		days. I would also direct the Board's attention
8		to page four of our brief, where we cite the
9		United States Supreme Court case of Cleveland
10		Board of Education versus Loudermill. And, in
11		that, Loudermill referred to that opportunity to
12		be heard as a pre-termination hearing,
13		predetermination hearing, pre-suspension, pre-
14		demotion it is just the opportunity to be
15		heard. Now, with regards to the issue of the
16		document that was attached to the brief of
17		Officer McLean, I would call Sergeant Gremillion
18		to testify.
19		SERGEANT KEITH GREMILLION, after having been duly
20		sworn, was examined and did testify as follows:
21	EXAMI	NATION BY MR. CORRY:
22	Q	Sergeant Gremillion, can you start off by giving
23		us your full name and business address for the
24		record?
25	A	Sergeant Keith Gremillion, Lafayette Police
1		

1		Department, 900 East University Avenue.
2	Q	How long have you been with the police
3		department?
4	A	21 years.
5	Q	And what is your current position?
6	A	Sergeant in Internal Affairs.
7	Q	And how long have you been in that position?
8	A	Since 2006.
9	Q	So you were the sergeant in Internal Affairs
10		during the Ed McLean investigation?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	And as the sergeant in Internal Affairs, what is
13		your role or duties, generally, generally and
14		briefly?
15	A	Basically what I do is the day-to-day jobs, you
16		know, assigning cases, dealing with lawsuits,
17		attorneys and stuff like that.
18	Q	In the Ed McLean matter, what type of
19		investigation was ordered by the Chief?
20	А	An administrative investigation.
21	Q	And what is the difference between an
22		administrative investigation and a shift level
23		investigation?
24	A	Well, it's a shift level and an administrative
25		investigation is an investigation. But the shift

Page 19

1		level investigation would go to the lieutenant of
2		a shift, as where the administrative
3		investigation would come to Internal Affairs.
4	Q	Is it safe to clarify for the Board's benefit
5		that a shift level investigation is kind of a low
6		investigation, maybe not a serious offense, and
7		an administrative investigation is something more
8		serious that entails Internal Affairs? And if I
9		need to get Chief Craft to explain it, I will be
10		happy to do that. If it is not something
11	А	It's more of a major policy violation, would be
12		something that's handled by Internal Affairs.
13	Q	When we received the brief from counsel for
14		Officer McLean dated February 1st, 2012, attached
15		to it was an Exhibit A. Did you receive a copy
16		of that brief?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	And did you see Exhibit A attached thereto?
19	A	Yes. I did.
20	Q	Is this a memorandum, a form memorandum that is
21		used in Internal Affairs?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Was that memorandum in any way related to the Ed
24		McLean matter?
25	A	No. It was not.

١			
	1	Q	And why wasn't it?
	2	A	Because it was not a shift level investigation.
	3	Q	And when you received a copy of that Exhibit A,
	4		did you do some investigation to determine how
	5		Officer McLean may have obtained a copy of it
	6		since it was not part of Internal Affair's
	7		investigation in this case?
	8	A	Yes. I did. I went and looked at some recent
	9		shift level investigations. And I located that
	10		particular form, the original.
	11	Q	And did you determine that the submission,
	12		Exhibit A, attached to Officer McLean's brief had
	13		actually been taken out of another file and
	14		whited out?
	15	A	Yes. It had.
	16	Q	And used in this matter for whatever reason?
	17	А	Yes.
	18	Q	Do you have any explanation as to how that
	19		memorandum was taken out of the file and brought
	20		before this Board's attention with it being
	21		whited out?
	22	A	No. I do not.
	23	Q	It was not part of this investigation?
	24	A	Correct. And that actual investigation, that
	25		officer sustained discipline. And that file

Page 21

1	he did not appeal it. So that file was in our
2	office.
3	MR. CORRY:
4	We would like to know where they got
5	it from and why it was used in this matter,
6	because it is not part of the IA file.
7	CHAIRMAN BOUDREAUX:
8	You are the police department.
9	Investigate it.
10	MR. CORRY:
11	Do you know where it came from?
12	MS. MAGEE:
13	As far as I'm concerned, it is
14	attorney/client privilege. It was provided
15	to me by a client. A client.
16	SERGEANT GREMILLION:
17	Well, I just have to say that file
18	came from my office and it went to certain
19	individuals in the department who
20	investigated it, and that this form should
21	have never been released. And it was
22	whited out when it was given, which is a
23	serious breach of integrity.
24	CHAIRMAN BOUDREAUX:
25	You are talking about Exhibit A you
	Page 22

	1	REPORTER'S PAGE
	2	
	3	I, Bernie Trappey, Certified Court Reporter, in
	4	and for the State of Louisiana, the officer, as
	5	defined in Rule 28 of the Federal Rules of Civil
	6	Procedure and/or Article 1434(b) of the Louisiana Code
	7	of Civil Procedure, before whom this sworn testimony
	8	was taken, do hereby state on the record:
	9	That due to the interaction in the spontaneous
	10	discourse of this proceeding, dashes () have been
	11	used to indicate pauses, changes in thought, and/or
	12	talkovers; that same is the proper method for a court
	13	reporter's transcription of proceeding; that the
	14	dashes () do not indicate that words or phrases have
	15	been left out of this transcript; and that any words
	16	and/or names which could not be verified through
	17	reference material have been denoted with the phrase
	18	"(phonetic)."
	19	
	20	
***************************************	21	BERNIE TRAPPEY, CCR
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
		Page 72
1		rage /2